



Aalborg Universitet

AALBORG UNIVERSITY  
DENMARK

## Quality Model of Foodstuff in the Control of Refrigerated Display Cabinet

Cai, Junping; Risum, Jørgen; Thybo, Claus

*Published in:*  
Danske Køledage 2006

*Publication date:*  
2006

*Document Version*  
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication from Aalborg University](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*  
Cai, J., Risum, J., & Thybo, C. (2006). Quality Model of Foodstuff in the Control of Refrigerated Display Cabinet. In *Danske Køledage 2006*

### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal -

### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at [vbn@aub.aau.dk](mailto:vbn@aub.aau.dk) providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

# Quality Model of Foodstuff in the Control of Refrigerated Display Cabinets

Junping Cai, Jørgen Risum and Claus Thybo

**Abstract**—Commercial refrigerating systems need to be defrosted regularly to maintain a satisfactory performance. When defrosting the evaporator coil, the air temperature inside the display cabinet will increase, and float outside the normal temperature range for a period of time, the question is what happens to the food inside during this period, when we look at the quality factor?

This paper discusses quality model of foodstuff, different scenarios of defrost scheme are simulated, questions such as how the defrost temperature and duration influence the food temperature, thus the food quality, as well as what is the optimal defrost scheme from food quality point of view are answered.

This will serve as a prerequisite of designing of optimal control scheme for the commercial refrigeration system, aiming at optimizing a weighed cost function of both food quality and overall energy consumption of system.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Quality of food has become a way of profiling the high end supermarkets, that prefer to compete on quality rather than price.

Today the refrigeration in the supermarket is controlled based on the food authorities regulations, keeping the food within the allowed temperature limits, but this regulation is only applicable when the refrigeration system is in the normal operational mode. On normal commercial refrigeration system, frost build-up on the evaporator coil is almost unavoidable, it is a function of time and some environmental factors such as air humidity, air velocity, air and fin temperature etc. It is undesired if nothing is done, the accumulated frost layer will lead to a dramatic degradation of the system performance, so defrost has to be performed regularly to maintain a satisfactory system performance. During defrost, the air temperature inside the display cabinet that around the food will increase, and float outside the normal temperature internal for a period of time, depending on the different defrost scheme and techniques.

There are a lot research on when and how to defrost, while most of it is from the system efficiency or energy point of view [4] [5]. Few reports on how defrost will influence the food quality, and how to optimize the defrost scheme to minimize the quality decay exists.

Our approach is by establishing a quality model, to investigate what kind of food is more sensitive to the temperature change, and by simulating the different scenario of defrost

scheme, to find out what kind of defrost scheme is most optimal for food quality.

This paper is organized as follows: the refrigeration of foodstuff in the supermarket is described in section 2, in section 3 we discuss the quality model, finally some discussions and conclusion in section 4.

## II. REFRIGERATION OF FOODSTUFF IN A SUPERMARKET

The display cabinet depicted in Fig. 1 consists of a food container and an air tunnel, circulating cold air around the food container. An evaporator in the air tunnel cools the passing air which creates a carpet of cold air on top of the food.

The fact that the air carpet is colder than the food and ambient air, will keep the air carpet in place and as it is more dense, enabling the desired effect of heat transfer from the carpet to the container and food. A side effect is that the ambient air will infiltrate into the carpet at the load zone. The display cabinet's temperature is normally controlled by




Fig. 1. A simplified display cabinet in the supermarket

a hysteresis controller which opens and closes the inlet valve, to control the flow of refrigerant into evaporator, thus keeping the air temperature within the specific limits, see Fig.2 and Fig.3, where the big change in temperature is caused by the defrost cycle.

### A. FROST FORMATION AND DEFROST CYCLE

Frost forms on display cabinet evaporator as water vapor in the air condenses and freezes when it contacts the coil

J. Cai is with Department of Control Engineering, Aalborg University, 9220 Aalborg, Denmark jc@control.aau.dk

J. Risum is with BioCentrum, Technical University of Denmark, Copenhagen, Denmark jr@biocentrum.dtu.dk

C. Thybo is with Central RD - Refrigeration and Air Conditioning, Danfoss A/S, 6430 Nordborg, Denmark, thybo@danfoss.com




Fig. 2. Fresh fish air temperature profile




Fig. 3. Frozen fish air temperature profile

surface which is normally below 0 °C. This is a well known and undesirable phenomenon. It deteriorates the system performance by decreasing the effective air flow area and increasing the thermal resistance between the air and the evaporator coils. Currently there are no clear and reliable measures that can prevent frost formation [6]. When frost accumulates to a certain level, defrost must be performed to maintain a satisfactory system performance.

Defrost methods vary depending on the refrigeration application and storage temperature, and initiation and termination of defrost can be controlled by many different parameters, such as timer or temperature, sometimes with up to 3 cycles per day.

During the defrost cycle, the air temperature will increase and remain outside of the normal temperature range for a period of time.

### B. LEGAL REQUIREMENTS

In the Danish supermarkets, there are legal requirements regarding the storage temperature for different foodstuff in the display cabinets [3], here the temperature is the air temperature:

- Frozen food, the maximum temperature is -18 °C.
- Fresh fish, the maximum temperature is +2 °C.
- Milk, the maximum temperature is +5 °C.

In general, the requirement is a temperature below +5 °C [1]. There are also some temperature requirements during the food processing and transportation.

## III. QUALITY MODEL

### A. BACKGROUND

During food processing and storage a lot of "chemical" reactions occur. In general chemistry "reaction kinetics" are often treated in terms of reaction rates under specified conditions (normally isothermal). In food processing the actual reaction rate is interesting, but only as a means of obtaining the most interesting information: the integral effect, i.e. the accumulated effect after some processing steps or storage periods with varying conditions.

Examples of "chemical" reactions:

- Loss of vitamins
- Growth of microorganisms
- Enzymatic, non-enzymatic browning
- Changes in color
- Toughness due oxidation

The purpose of reaction kinetics in a food process is thus to be able to predict/calculate/estimate the consequences of a given treatment of a food item. The results may be as an absolute number such as a concentration, a fraction remaining or an index of the changes.

### B. SHELF LIFE CALCULATIONS AND QUALITY LOSS

The shelf life of a product is a very important parameter. If the shelf life is too short, it will be impossible to market the product, and if it is declared too long, the consumer may wonder why.

The definition of shelf life depends on the limiting factors for the product. It may be microbial spoilage or decolorization as often in chilled storage, or it could be loss of vitamins or color as in frozen storage. These factors may all be observed by objective methods. Not so with the sensory values of the products. Here we are forced to make observations regarding if the product has changed with respect to the fresh product. The investigation is carried out by triangular sensory tests, to establish if the assessors can detect a difference between a product that has not altered (stored at very low temperature) with significance.

1) FROZEN STORAGE(-18~-30°C): For frozen foods, based on the kind of assessors we define:

- High Quality Life (HQL), when the assessors are trained (specialists).
- Practical Storage Life (PSL), when the assessors are untrained (normal consumers).

The relation between HQL and PSL is described by an acceptability factor, which may range from 2 to 10, depending on the product, and may even not be constant for the different temperatures. The experiments are carried out storing the produce at different temperatures, and assessing the quality at certain times. As soon as the assessors detect a difference with significance, the quality is deemed to be zero. The produce starts with the quality of 100% and end with 0%. We

have to stress, that a product of 0% quality, by this definition, is a very good product. The 0% quality is determined by the fact that a set of assessors looking for differences just found it with significance. The normal consumer would not detect the changes.

The time for loss of quality (100%) at temperature  $T$  is termed  $D_T$ , the function between  $D_T$  and temperature is expressed as follows, where  $z$ -value is the product temperature sensitivity indicator.

$$D_T = D_{ref} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{T - T_{ref}}{z}\right) \quad (1)$$

Calculation of shelf life is based on how much "quality" is consumed during different steps of storage [2]. This can be described by a storage profile expressing how long time  $t$  the product has been kept at certain temperature  $T$ .

For frozen products one would normally use  $-18^\circ\text{C}$  as  $T_{ref}$ ,  $z$ -value depending on the product.

$$D_T = D_{-18} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{T - (-18)}{z}\right) \quad (2)$$

$$Q_{loss}(T, t) = \frac{100\%}{D_T} \cdot t \quad (3)$$

2) CHILL STORAGE ( $0^\circ\text{C} \sim$ ): For chilled food, in contrast to frozen food, the quality of chilled food from start is defined as 100%, and 0% when the product is unsuitable for sale. The calculations are based on the same principles as for frozen food. But for chilled food one would normally use  $0^\circ\text{C}$  as  $T_{ref}$ ,  $z$ -value depending on the product.

$$D_T = D_0 \exp\left(-\frac{T - 0}{z}\right) \quad (4)$$

$$Q_{loss}(T, t) = \frac{100\%}{D_T} \cdot t \quad (5)$$

$D_{ref}$  and  $z$ -value for frozen and chilled fish is shown in Table I. Quality decay per minute in % for frozen fat and

TABLE I  
PARAMETERS USED IN THE SIMULATION

Product	$D_{ref}$	$z$ -value
frozen lean fish	125	20
frozen fat fish	42	30
fresh lean fish	5	10

lean fish is shown in Fig. 4. Quality decay per minute in % for fresh lean fish is shown in Fig. 5.

3) ACCUMULATED QUALITY DECAY : The calculation of the total loss of quality is based on linear additivity and temperature-time profile, such that

$$Q_{loss, total} = \sum_i Q_{loss}(T_i, t_i) \quad (6)$$

By applying the dynamic heat transfer model, we can get the dynamic food temperature profile, therefore we can calculate the timely decay and accumulated daily or monthly decay.




Fig. 4. Minute decay for frozen fat and lean fish under different temperatures




Fig. 5. Quality decay per minute for fresh lean fish under different temperatures

#### IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

In this section, we will simulate the quality decay under the different scenarios of defrost scheme, to see what make difference from the quality point of view, and which kind of food is more sensitive to the defrost temperature change.

##### A. different scenarios of defrost scheme

Today the most simple way to defrost is scheduled defrost, which uses a timer to initiate and terminate the defrost, depending on the storage temperature, store environmental condition and defrost techniques, the defrost frequency and duration is different, for frozen storage can be 1-2 times per day, for chilled food, around 2-3 times per day.

Scenario 1, one scheme defrosts more frequent and short duration each time, another scheme defrost less frequent with longer duration each time, see Fig. 6. Quality loss for these two defrost schemes is shown in Fig. 7 and 8, which corresponding to a daily decay of 27.4% and 27.1% respectively, with difference around 1.1%.

Scenario 2, since the peak value of defrost temperature is one of main factors that influence the quality change, the ideal situation will be a low peak value. We lower the temperature before the defrost as in Fig. 9, to see

what we can gain. Decay for 'normal' defrost scheme and manipulated defrost scheme is shown in Fig. 10 and 11, which corresponds to a daily quality loss of 27.4% and 26.0% respectively, with difference around 5.1%.

### B. Type of fish

Fat fish normally have shorter shelf life. The International Institute of Refrigeration (IIR) recommends the storage temperature for fat fish such as herring to be  $-24^{\circ}\text{C}$ , while for the lean fish such as cod, to be  $-18^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Fat fish has normally higher decay rate comparing with lean fish, recall Fig.4, is that mean that fat fish is more sensitive to the temperature change? Fig. 12 shows the fat and lean frozen fish decay under the same air temperature profile, accumulated daily decay is 2.2% and 0.7% respectively. If we zoom the defrost period, to see what is the difference if we defrost comparing if there is no defrost, meaning keep the fish at more or less constant temperature instead. Fig. 13 shows the different decay under these two different situations. For the fat fish, if there is no defrost during this 4 hours, decay is 0.37%, with defrost is 0.42%, defrost increase the decay about 13.5%; for lean fish, if there is no defrost during this 4 hours, decay is 0.12%, with defrost is 0.14%, defrost increase the decay about 14.3%.

### C. CONCLUSION

By simulating the different defrosting scenarios, we can see that under the same condition, less frequent defrost with longer time duration, and low peak value of defrost temperature lead to less quality decay, and good for food storage. Fat fish has higher decay rate, but it is not more sensitive to the temperature change, as we assumed.

While when we defrost, for example, the evaporator for frozen food by electric heater, we need firstly supply energy to heat up the evaporator coil to melt the ice, and after defrost, need energy to lower the coil surface temperature again, in order to back to normal operation. If we lower the temperature before the defrost, system normally consume more energy. Therefore we need to know what is cost when we use the different defrost scheme, this can be simulated by defrost and energy model.

Finally we can combine these two aspects-energy and quality into weighted overall objective function, and design the optimal control scheme to optimize the overall system performance.

### REFERENCES

- [1] *Bekendtgørelse nr. 1271 of 13/12/2004, Bekendtgørelse om Fodevarerhygiejne.*
- [2] P.E. Andersen and J. Risum. *Introduktion til Vore Levnedsmidler, Bind 5, Konservering.* 1994.
- [3] DSK, Danish Supermarket Group, and COOP. *Hygiene and Self Control, Regulation for Supermarkets.* Sept.,2004.
- [4] N. Hoffenbecker, S.A. Klein, and D.T. Reindl. Hot gas defrost model development and validation. *International Journal of Refrigeration*, 28(4):605–615, 2005.
- [5] Payne, Vance, O'Neal, and L. Dennis. Examination of alternate defrost strategies for an air-source heat pump: multi-stage defrost. *Recent Research in Heat Pump Design, Analysis, and Application and American Society of Mechanical Engineers, Advanced Energy Systems Division (Publication) AES*, 28:71–77, 1992.

- [6] J. Shin, A. V Tikhonov, and C. Kim. *Experimental Study on Frost Structure on Surface with Different Hydrophilicity: Density and Thermal Conductivity.* *Journal of Heat Transfer*, 125, pp. 84-94, 2003.




Fig. 6. Air temperature for defrost scheme 1 and 2




Fig. 9. Air temperature for Defrost scheme 1 and 3




Fig. 7. Fresh fish decay for defrost scheme 1




Fig. 10. Fresh fish real time decay for defrost scheme 1 and 3




Fig. 8. Fresh fish decay for defrost scheme 2




Fig. 11. Fresh fish accumulated decay for defrost scheme 1 and 3




Fig. 12. Frozen fish decay - fat vs. lean fish




Fig. 13. Frozen fish decay - defrost vs. non-defrost